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INTRODUCTION

Columbia World Projects (CWP), an initiative of Columbia University, in collaboration with the School of International and Public Affairs (SIPA) and the New York City Civic Engagement Commission (CEC), hosted a series of meetings with the goal of providing high-level expert counsel to the CEC on how, if and when digital tools might be employed to better engage New York City’s communities and enhance government responsiveness to the needs and concerns of residents. Considerations of equity, responsiveness, and transparency lay at the center of these discussions as well as a keen concern for how other cities have used digital tools, seeking to understand the conditions under which such efforts succeed or fail.

The CEC was established by a ballot initiative to revise the NYC Charter and approved by voters in November 2018. The Commission is charged with the task of advancing participatory governance in four areas: shaping participatory budgeting processes, partnering with community-based organizations and civic leaders, developing a plan to enhance language access at poll sites by the 2020 election, and providing assistance to community boards. This collaborative effort seeks to assess possibilities for using digital platforms to realize this mandate.

Between May and August 2020, CWP convened experts from academia, community-based organizations, government, philanthropy, and the private sector to advise the CEC on uses of digital technologies to strengthen civic engagement over the course of five separate but interrelated meetings. Broadly, each of these discussions sought to provide insights around how the CEC might build digital points of connection between New York City’s diverse communities and government with the aim of enhancing the civic power that residents might wield while also cultivating more responsive structures of government. These conversations, taking place in the time of pandemic, attained even greater urgency given limits placed on in-person activities.

The first meeting, held on April 3, 2020, examined the norms and values that undergird civic engagement and how the pandemic might serve as a source of stress on usual practices of civic engagement. The second meeting, hosted on June 15, 2020, gathered experts from Europe to gather learnings from civic engagement initiatives across multiple European cities. A third meeting, held on July 22, 2020, was animated by the CEC’s adoption of Decidim, a digital platform built for enhancing participatory democracy, and explored good practices the CEC might adopt to create sustained communication and responsiveness on the platform. In addition to these meetings, CWP formed two additional working groups, each of which met once. The first surveyed the landscape of existing, relevant technologies; the second sought to identify metrics to measure civic engagement.
This report summarizes key insights from these conversations. It is organized into four parts. The first explores fundamental concerns that might guide NYC agencies seeking to enhance digital civic engagement. The second outlines actionable steps and recommendations specific to the CEC’s launch and implementation of the Decidim platform, a digital platform used by a number of governments across the world for civic engagement purposes. A third section offers recommendations the CEC might pursue that will complement efforts reliant on Decidim, as resources allow. The fourth section, the annex, contains lists of participants present at each of the discussions followed by biographies of all participants. As the convenings were held under Chatham House Rule, views have not been attributed to individual participants, even as this report aims to express areas of consensus and points of disagreement among participants.

I. CIVIC TECHNOLOGY, EQUITY, AND UPTAKE

The history of civic technology is littered with discarded tools. Too often, well-intentioned city governments establish tools that never gain user attention, tools that obtain attention from select communities but fail to attract a broad user base over time, and tools that do little more than create a theater of civic engagement without actually increasing government responsiveness, thereby leading to a quick demise.

A fundamental goal and significant challenge in the development of civic engagement platforms is resident uptake of digital tools. This successful uptake, and in particular the generation of a stable user base, relies primarily on the saliency of the initiative to its constituents. Communications with the public about the existence of a digital tool, though of vital importance to increased uptake, only fosters sustained engagement when platforms provide residents with opportunities to engage meaningfully, and in ways they perceive effective and of import to their government, with the issues that matter to them.

Effective and meaningful civic engagement begins by identifying a particular problem of particular concern to community members and examines potential solutions to the issue and how they might be institutionalized across government. These concerns ought to drive adoption of a particular solution, whether reliant on technological innovation or another modality of intervention. Within civic technology initiatives, sustained uptake depends on whether or not participants view their participation as likely to be received by a responsive government agency. Without substantive engagement, there runs the risk of a negative feedback loop likely to erode efforts to cultivate a stable user base.
Saliency of issues addressed by civic technology initiatives is crucial but also insufficient. Many successful initiatives in the space of civic technology included dedicated advertising budgets to draw attention to new efforts by city government to draw users into engagement with the tools provided by city government. Conversely, many platforms launched without dedicated advertising fail to attract a stable user base.

Even when launches of new technologies are complemented by an advertising campaign, participants cautioned, city governments frequently undermine efforts to draw attention to new civic technology initiatives by launching too many competing platforms across multiple agencies, rather than creating a single hub. The result is that key information is scattered across multiple sites and users are expected to become conversant with multiple platforms and tools. One participant described the impact as resulting in a crisis of attention, as residents become overwhelmed by the number of online and offline fora available to them, including websites, Apps, and community meetings. As the amount of information increases, but residents’ time to engage with government does not, growing numbers of touch points -- especially digital ones that require increasingly advanced technical literacy -- place an excessive burden on residents. In response, some participants suggested that the CEC might aggregate information about existing modes of civic engagement in New York City on any new platform it implements, creating points of connection between these distinct pathways.

An active -- even centralized -- user community is insufficient, participants cautioned. The vast majority of users of civic technology platforms globally represent the wealthiest communities, which have attained the highest education levels and the highest incomes. Even 311, which affords NYC residents a centralized single point of contact for complaints that in the past might have been scattered across a range of departments and agencies, suggests that new channels to engage city government might be unlikely to increase civic engagement by groups with lower rates of political and civic participation. Despite lowering barriers to the issuance of complaints, 311 data offers some evidence that New York City might be at risk of implementing a digital civic technology platform that will be used primarily by the wealthiest, largely white strata of city residents. While census tracts representing high proportions of minority residents, unemployed and underemployed residents, and unmarried residents make up a higher proportion of calls to 311, these rates also show negative correlation with civic and political participation rates.¹ This data suggests that communities making use of 311 might not adopt new technologies that

require greater investments in time, in making demands on other citizens and political elites, and that require greater depths of knowledge about particular issues.

Moreover, any efforts to implement civic technology takes place in the context of a vast digital divide, the gap between those that do and do not have ready-access to hardware and broadband technologies giving residents sufficient internet access. Across the city, according to a recent Comptroller report, nearly one million households and one-third of all residents lack access to broadband internet at home.² One in six households in NYC lack any access to the internet, whether through broadband, cellular data plan, dial-up, or satellite access.³ This digital divide disproportionately affects Black and Hispanic New Yorkers. It also results in geographies of isolation, with limited internet access among households in many of the poorest census tracts, including those in Brownsville, Borough Park, Hunts Point, and Sunset Park.

The pandemic, necessitating a rapid and dramatic shift to virtual engagement, leaves many residents even further isolated, as many of these same households now lack access to key institutions through which they connected to the digital world in the period before Covid-19. Job losses, the partial closure of schools, and the phased closures and reduced hours of libraries and community centers amid the pandemic all have contributed to expanding the digital divide at a moment when households require greater access than before.

Yet multiple participants emphasized a nuanced perspective on the digital divide. Digital access and competencies exist on a spectrum; few residents are always connected just as few residents are always disconnected. Some residents may access the internet at work or school -- at least in the period before the pandemic -- but lack access at home. Within this framework, one should ask how and where the least connected residents are engaging online, just as one should ask whether digital tools are intuitive to navigate for those who are less likely to have comfort and in using them.

It is incumbent on the city and federal government to help bridge this digital divide, participants indicated, through both concrete commitments to enhancing digital literacy among residents and by providing low technology modes of engagement. One step to take toward increasing digital equity, participants suggested, might be to work in active partnership with community-serving organizations such as NYC Mesh, Silicon Harlem, and the Point. Coordinated partnerships that seek to expand access to broadband can complement steps underway during the pandemic in which the city provides hardware to


students and elderly residents. Improving access to LinkNYC offers another opportunity for expanding digital access. These examples suggest that this work will require multiple city agencies to work together toward increasing digital access and bridging the digital divide. Participants noted that the Mayor’s Office, the NYC Chief Technology Officer, the Department of Education, and the CEC ought to work together in coordinated and systematic fashion to build out the City’s capacity for digital engagement.

A second approach, which ought to complement this prior step, is to work more actively with, and reinvest in, institutions that build and provide ongoing social infrastructure. These institutions offer gathering spaces for diverse publics to come together across multiple divides and also to obtain access to vital services, including broadband in instances such as public libraries. Adequately funding libraries and restoring their operating hours into evenings and weekends, one participant suggested, can help mitigate the problem of the digital divide in the period when the pandemic subsides.

While participants agreed that city government ought to invest in civic technology capacity with a concern for access, participants also emphasized that how city government regarded potential users mattered enormously. Building capacity and then expecting residents to access the tools is insufficient. The goal, stressed several participants, ought to be to enhance civic power wielded by residents, and thus to empower residents to assume the role of agents in their communities. One participant shared that groups often labeled “vulnerable,” particularly refugees, are often those with the fewest available outlets to share their ideas, and so it is especially crucial to communicate with care when referring to New York City’s diverse communities and also to create pathways for access to these technologies. Many participants encouraged the CEC, in order to prevent the systemic exclusion of communities from processes of civic engagement, to allow residents to share their concerns, ideas, and experiences in the language of their choosing, as some of New York City’s many residents who do not speak English fluently, even if they do have the capability to access the internet, may not feel comfortable participating in English.

The topic of civic trust served as a through line across each meeting. In each discussion, multiple participants working with communities across NYC emphasized that many residents already feel distrustful of government broadly, stemming from long histories of policies and interventions that have hurt, among others, non-white, low-income, and immigrant communities. Attendees agreed that these long-standing sentiments may lead

---

many residents to view any newly launched mode of civic engagement with suspicion. One participant noted that both widespread disenfranchisement, which describes how people are barred from participating in democratic processes, and disaffection, a lack of belief that these processes will meet residents’ needs, are distinct and monumental challenges faced by agencies looking to enhance civic engagement.

Participants underscored the importance of creating structures of responsiveness within government to attend to the suggestions, concerns, and ideas that residents voice through both online and offline modes of civic engagement. Many warned that when governments launch new platforms for engagement, but do not address the thoughts shared by residents on these platforms, it further erodes civic trust, which is notably difficult to rebuild. Some participants pointed to the protests that erupted following the murder of George Floyd as evidence of deep distrust in government at a time in which fostering strong civic trust is especially critical, as it is to addressing the COVID-19 pandemic and convincing residents to follow public health guidelines.

II. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECIDIM PLATFORM

In summer 2020, the CEC committed to developing a new digital tool to enhance civic engagement in New York City, opting to use the platform Decidim, a digital participatory democracy framework originally developed for the City of Barcelona. Decidim is an open-source platform on which residents can submit proposals, view submissions by others, and vote for proposals they find most compelling, thereby allowing residents to communicate their priorities to city government directly. The platform also enables city government to form online assemblies, conduct processes of participatory budgeting, engage in strategic planning, and highlight legislation in draft form and gather feedback directly from residents, increasing transparency and channels for direct feedback from the residents affected by urban policies and city legislation.

The recommendations that follow arise from participants’ efforts to grapple with a select number of pressing questions within the context of the CEC’s launch and implementation of a Decidim platform. The recommendations center on three key issues. First, how might the CEC raise awareness of the platform. Second, how might the CEC foster responsiveness across government agencies, and third, how the CEC can create feedback loops for information sharing between the platform and other low-technology and offline forms of engagement.
Participants widely acknowledged that digital tools like Decidim, while they can be useful tools in fostering civic engagement, are not solutions in and of themselves in countering disenfranchisement and disaffection and forming more participatory democracies. Participants cautioned against expecting the tool to accomplish too much, noting that it cannot by itself transform deeply ingrained democratic cultures and inequitable power dynamics. However, if the implementation of the platform is accompanied by widespread efforts by city government to shift these dynamics and rest more power in the hands of residents, the Decidim platform could create forums for people to respond to this shift and meaningfully engage with government and one another.5

USER VERIFICATION

Participants debated different possible modes of user verification on the platform, balancing the City’s desire to understand who engages with Decidim, risks of fraud, and the importance of respecting users’ privacy.

Many attendees agreed that an anonymous system would likely make residents, especially those with little or no experience engaging with government, more comfortable submitting proposals and voting on the platform. Given that proposals submitted to the platform are non-binding, at least at present, some participants agreed that user verification constituted a minor issue. One participant argued that just as voter ID laws in the United States act as a tool of disenfranchise, more burdensome requirements around user verification create more exclusive processes and platforms.

Other cities have adopted a similar strategy while also modifying it at various stages in order to tighten verification standards for select activities that are deemed closer in spirit to the act of voting. In Barcelona, for instance, anyone can create an account using only their email address, but in order to vote on proposals, their identity must be verified using the citizen census. Once verified, accounts are anonymized so that city government officials cannot view the identity, address, or demographics of those engaging with the platform. Some participants endorsed this strategy while more than one participant indicated that anonymity should be valued above verifiability. In order to secure privacy, participants argued, it is worth taking the risk that people would create multiple accounts and vote several times in part because the impact of fraud is diminished when a large number of users engage with a

Other participants raised questions about who should be afforded access to the platform. They asked whether only residents of New York City should be allowed to participate on the platform, given that huge numbers of people commute into the city for work or play and bring valuable insights to a participatory platform. Another suggested that the CEC might encourage these individuals to join Decidim, but restrict “voting” privileges on resolutions to residents. In short, commuters might comment on the platform but not “vote,” -- that is, commuters might express support or concerns for resolutions but not propose new resolutions nor click on a resolution to indicate their preferences, a form of virtual voting distinct from that which takes place in elections.7

Responding to these concerns about who should access the platform, comment on resolutions, and express preferences by “voting” on resolutions published to the platform, participants discussed a number of data sources specific to New York City that the CEC might access to enable user verification. One participant suggested that the CEC might use data from campaign registrations, much of which has been collected through lower technology means like door knocking and texting. Another participant proposed that when residents apply for benefits, the city might ask if they would like to opt into joining Decidim as a verified user, which may encourage traditionally underrepresented groups to participate.

SPREADING AWARENESS OF THE PLATFORM

There was consensus among participants that a fundamental challenge to the success of Decidim centered on raising awareness of the platform throughout New York City’s diverse communities. Participants recommended that the CEC reach out to trusted community organizations to inform them about the platform and instruct members on how to create accounts, submit proposals, and vote for initiatives. The CEC might also provide Decidim trainings at community board meetings, both to spread awareness of its existence and create connections between topics raised in these offline settings and online engagement.

Participants also urged that an advertising budget and campaign support these efforts, especially aimed at reaching residents of color and in less privileged neighborhoods. Many encouraged the CEC to actively market their platform, with one participant stating that their own work has revealed that many of the most successful digital tools across both the public


and private sector devote significant portions of their budget to marketing their applications. Some participants raised support for spreading awareness of Decidim on other social media platforms where many residents already engage actively, but noted that questions still remain about how to convince residents to favor using Decidim over existing online communities, like mutual aid groups on Facebook. One participant expressed interest in developing a comprehensive marketing strategy, suggesting that this may be an opportunity for city government to learn from the private sector, and that some private companies may be willing and eager to share their expertise and advise the CEC on creating a robust marketing strategy. Participants also reminded the CEC to think about their marketing strategy as one that does not exclusively rely on paid advertisements, instead expanding outreach at existing points of interaction between local government and New York City residents.

**TOPICS TO PRIORITIZE WHEN LAUNCHING THE PLATFORM**

Participants debated whether the CEC, when launching Decidim, might invite people to submit proposals focused on particular topics, and if so which topics might they pursue. One participant encouraged the CEC to first focus on a lower profile policy area, like use of green space, as it could serve as a test case which would enhance familiarity with the platform among residents, CEC staff, and employees of other city agencies. They noted that this might provide more leeway for the CEC to experiment with the platform before it sought to tackle high-profile issues. Another participant cautioned that if the CEC chooses to first explore a lower profile policy area, they should expect relatively low uptake, as the salience of issues addressed is one of the most significant predictors of engagement. Others suggested that the CEC might first deploy Decidim to gather proposals on participatory budgeting, as this process is measured using established qualitative and quantitative metrics, and could thus provide the CEC with information on how residents and actors in city government engage with the platform.

Another bloc of participants suggested that considering the magnitude of the COVID-19 crisis, and the police violence targeting of color that propelled many into the streets to protest in support of the Black Lives Matter movement, the CEC might at the launch of the platform encourage residents to submit proposals about the issues that matter most to them, like evictions, safety, and lack of food access. Other participants cautioned the CEC against this approach, suggesting that if residents engaged on high-profile topics, but their posts were not systematically and meaningfully acknowledged, fragile notions of civic trust may further erode. In short, there was no consensus on initial issues to address.
Regardless of whether or not the CEC chooses to focus on specific issues when launching the platform, many participants agreed that in order for the Decidim platform to be meaningful and effective, the CEC should at some point encourage people to express their concerns about the issues that matter most to them. One participant advised the CEC not to focus just on what issues seemed viable to address, but instead focus on the issues that are of the greatest consequence and significance to communities. They said that if agencies focused primarily on what seemed achievable, these efforts to innovate within the field of civic technology will be niche and safe. They encouraged the CEC to center difficult issues on their platform to demonstrate their commitment to creating an impactful model of civic engagement for New York City residents.

OUTREACH AND INCLUSIVENESS

Throughout each of the discussions, participants highlighted the importance of sharing knowledge across both digital platforms and low technology forms of engagement. Participants agreed that those most affected by the digital divide deserve to have their voices heard, and that a participatory infrastructure could accompany a Decidim platform to enhance the accessibility of proposals submitted on the platform for all residents.

Multiple participants pointed out that in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, many low technology interventions have proven most effective at responding to residents’ needs. Members of multiple city governments highlighted how government hotlines, or efforts by local agencies to reach out to elderly residents via phone, have served as particularly effective tools in addressing the needs of residents that have arisen as a result of the pandemic. One participant suggested that operators of these phone calls might inform residents of the existence of a new platform and encourage residents to join Decidim. Another participant suggested that the CEC might deploy “translators” who could station themselves at physical locations, like in-person community board meetings, and discuss with residents the ideas and proposals gaining most traction online, or speak to them about submissions relevant to their specific concerns or interests. These “translators” might also upload proposals discussed by residents at community board meetings to the platform to enhance communication between residents who primarily engage online and those who engage offline. This model was used in Mexico City and in parts of Scotland.
ENHANCING GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVENESS & SETTING CLEAR EXPECTATIONS FOR ENGAGEMENT

There was a consensus among participants that strengthening civic trust and resident engagement depends heavily on government agencies’ capacity to respond thoughtfully to ideas raised on digital platforms, and that governments need to show residents that participation is worthwhile. Based on their experiences with Decidim and other digital tools in various cities, many participants encouraged the CEC to anticipate the possibility of large numbers of proposals, given that many residents want to communicate meaningfully with city government and substantively engage in efforts to improve city services.

Many stressed the importance of cross agency collaboration to address proposals submitted on the platform. Participants advised the CEC to engage relevant stakeholders, including community leaders and those from within government, at early stages of the development process. One participant suggested the CEC speak to representatives of 311, the Public Advocate, and Council Members, and look for mutually beneficial methods of addressing resident proposals. The participant advised the CEC not to try to replicate the constituency service problem-solving embedded in programs like 311, but to position Decidim as a complementary tool that informs the CEC’s efforts and allows residents to advocate and vote for policies and initiatives that address matters they care deeply about. Another participant urged the CEC to ensure that the back-end of the platform be intuitive and user-friendly, and encouraged the CEC to share the back-end of the program across city government so that all agencies have the opportunity to incorporate findings to improve outcomes in their own work.

Participants agreed that setting clear expectations for how the city will respond to proposals raised on the platform is essential to demonstrating the value of Decidim as a platform for two-way conversation to both residents and government agencies. Many advised the CEC to create clear guidelines that define what criteria, number of votes for example, will determine when the government responds, which agencies are responsible for addressing resident proposals on particular topics, and who residents should contact for help using the platform. Clear guidelines around government responsiveness can foster civic trust both within and outside of government, increase transparency, and encourage further engagement with the platform.

---

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

TECHNOLOGY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

*Effective digital tools, especially those used in decision-making and governance, should be accessible to and inclusive of all NYC residents.* Income and education are strongly correlated with broadband use at home.\(^9\) Compounding the challenges of digital access is a lack of digital literacy among many New York City residents. To account for the digital divide, the best and most effective tools are multi-modal, empowering people with varying levels of access, literacy, and training. One participant shared that in Barcelona, ideas brought up in community meetings are transformed into policy proposals via the Decidim platform, so that insights gained through various channels are congregated and made available to residents. In Paris, where residents could only access the Participatory Budgeting platform online, the government set up points of connection around the city where those without digital access could dictate their ideas to a government official, who would add the proposal to the city’s Participatory Budgeting platform. In an effort to enhance inclusivity, such multi-modal strategies should be accompanied by substantial commitments to expand broadband access throughout the New York City, especially as the pandemic has even further necessitated that residents have access to the internet.

*Digital tools should make interacting with the government easier, rather than more complicated, by embracing a user centered design and approach.* Tools should be as clear and simple as possible, so that users will return to a digital tool when a new need arises, rather than selecting a different approach. Including real-time data visibility which sends out information, rather than requiring residents to seek information themselves, can increase transparency and awareness. Participants of the CEC convenings warned against offering too many touchpoints, as it might overwhelm citizens.

*Measure accessibility and trust in digital tools to increase equity.* Digital tools can collect data detailing what groups are and are not engaging with the tool, how often, and to what effect to determine levels of engagement across the city. Users could opt into sharing this information through in-app features and city-wide surveys to foster regular and open communication with city agencies involved in relevant decision-making. These efforts should strive to track meaningful metrics, rather than surface level forms of engagement like clicks or views. To further increase trust, the CEC might make this data open-source, and

---

prominently indicate on the Decidim website the availability of this data to residents who would like access.

**EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS**

Cities should anticipate that their calls for engagement may be viewed with suspicion by some communities of residents. Some local agencies have historically acted in ways which further marginalize specific communities, and the distrust resulting from these actions should be acknowledged and addressed during efforts to draw attention to the new digital platform.

Research shows that white, wealthy residents still tend to be overrepresented among complaints registered with the system. These residents tend to stay civically engaged via tools like 311 and also through more traditional modes of engagement, such as attending local governance meetings and voting in local elections.

In determining metrics for data collection, the City could prioritize the inclusion and engagement of structurally disenfranchised communities, including Black and Brown residents, homeless people, non-English speakers, and those with disabilities. Attendees agreed that particular attention should be paid to those groups who participate the least on the platform, considering that their voices are often the ones that need to be heard the most even as they are systematically excluded. The City could empower members of these communities to consult on and help design community identified metrics to increase accessibility and guide thoughtful implementation.

When leveraging tools like Decidim, the City could accurately communicate the level of decision-making authority residents will be granted by engaging with the platform and city officials. By using clear communication, city actors and residents alike can develop mutual, clear, and equitable expectations for engagement.

The City can work with existing groups, such as Code for America, to develop new narratives about engagement and highlight the work of young people and Black and Brown communities. A core message brought up by convening participants is the lack of recognition for grassroots civics work, and a lacking pipeline to formal positions of leadership.
DIGITAL CITIZENSHIP CONSIDERATIONS

Decidim might be marketed as a central hub of information relevant to NYC residents, rather than an additional tool to be used in resident-to-government communication. Participants agreed that new technologies compete against both existing tools and a crisis of attention that users experience as more tools become available. Cities are seeing backlash against the number of digital touch points provided to citizens. By positioning Decidim as the central civic information hub for NYC, the CEC could save residents the frustration of a technology overload and create a more sustainable model of civic engagement.

The most impactful tools ask not just for feedback but create opportunities for collaboration between residents and those in government. One participant suggested, “There aren’t opportunities for residents to help shape the government’s response in terms of COVID-19 recovery and beyond. What would it mean to have built in user engagement that goes beyond information and complaining, to active voice and participation? How can we see visible proof in our policies that the government has been responsive to its residents? How can we think about the different vulnerable populations who are not being represented on these platforms, and how do we use tech to do that?” By treating residents as partners, rather than recipients of government services, they will be more likely to participate. Participants stressed the importance of careful communication, with one noting that labeling people as “vulnerable” dissuades them from reaching out for help, or when they want to mobilize or participate.

POLICY AND PROGRAMMATIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Provide opportunities for communities to take part in co-designing decision-making processes in order to reimagine possibilities for co-governance models. In order to expand opportunities, the CEC might expand outreach to a wide-variety of community stakeholders, from community boards to local grassroots organizations. Community stakeholders can help identify local priorities, existing pre-existing digital infrastructure, and gaps in service. The CEC could create opportunities for community members to share their input and experiences throughout various stages of law and policy-making cycles.

1. To identify constituents’ most pressing needs, the CEC could spread information about Decidim via its CBO partners and request feedback from the organizations and their members by phone, email, or online survey.
2. Use CBO partners to communicate concrete goals and set clear expectations for engagement. Participants discussed how previous civic engagement tools failed to capture communities’ interest, or worse, further eroded civic trust, when government agencies did not communicate clearly how submissions would be reviewed and acted upon. The CEC could explain to Decidim users upon registration if and how government actors will respond to their proposals to enhance trust in the platform.

3. Determine how voting will take place on the platform by balancing residents’ privacy concerns with a desire to prevent any one individual from creating multiple accounts and casting multiple votes. In Barcelona, users need only an email address to create an account on the platform, but must be a resident of the city to vote. This residency is verified using anonymized census data. Alternatively, the CEC can opt to grant voting privileges to city visitors, including commuters and tourists. While some participants argued that this would dilute resident voices, others argued that those who live outside the city, but regularly work or recreate in NYC, may have useful perspectives and particular concerns and project ideas.

4. Explicitly state which individuals and organizations have been involved in any CEC-led or CEC-funded, community-based projects to garner additional trust.


The CEC can create a strong foundation for understanding and working with diverse communities. This includes promoting trust and collaboration between communities and city agencies in the development and launch of digital tools by collecting community input through both online and offline channels.

1. Allocate a significant budget towards marketing the platform, especially to those communities with historically low levels of digital engagement with government, so as to encourage a constituency more representative of the city as a whole to engage with Decidim. Strategies might include digital advertising, such as those created by the app, Neighborland, and outreach to already active online fora, such as Facebook mutual aid groups. The CEC might prioritize strategies that go beyond self-selection mechanisms in an effort to increase participation among groups with historically low levels of engagement. Methods to recruit participants of hard-to-reach profiles include “random domain intercept technology” and random digit dialing. Cross-selling and up-selling participation from existing points of interaction, like use of social services, can also be extremely effective.
2. Help people create an account on the platform, and provide information on digital civic engagement to residents when they fill out service applications like those to qualify for low-income housing and food stamps.

3. The CEC could consider designing a fellowship - funded by philanthropic resources - focused on increasing engagement and building public sector civic technology capacity, with particular emphasis on reaching the city’s diverse communities. Fellows could aid the CEC in building the infrastructure needed to meaningfully engage communities and meet expressed needs. Administering a fellowship would enable the city to create new connections between institutions and individuals city-wide, strengthening the public sector technology infrastructure and further involving diverse stakeholders.

**Third, develop infrastructure for sustained collaboration and partnerships that prioritizes ease of use for both residents and city employees, allowing both parties to usefully and meaningfully contribute.** Online polls, conversations, and project submissions by community leaders and local organizations can inform decision-making by government actors, who based on community submissions might provide more support to existing projects or develop new programs.

1. Conduct user testing of the platform with potential target audiences, both within and outside of government. This user testing will help the CEC understand whether certain customizations are required to enhance ease of use for residents and government actors alike.

2. Work with community groups and city officials to provide live and recorded trainings for both residents and government actors that demonstrate use of the Decidim platform. Though the pandemic necessitates that many of these training be conducted online, the CEC could work with local organizations, like Silicon Harlem, and could scale up these trainings by offering funding and infrastructure support.

3. Position the Decidim platform as complementary to 311, creating feedback loops between these channels that encourage user uptake and information sharing across platforms. Practices for institutionalizing touchpoints between these modes of
engagement may include, in situations where someone calls 311 on an issue related to CEC consultation,

a. the operator might ask for that person’s suggestions and create an entry on the Decidim platform on the caller’s behalf, and/or

b. where appropriate and feasible, redirect the caller to the platform by sending them an SMS with the URL.

4. Serve as a partner to help facilitate ideas and efforts via Mayor de Blasio’s COVID-19 task forces. Mayor de Blasio announced several cross-sector task forces to guide the City’s reopening in the aftermath of the pandemic. The administration’s task force on police reform has announced changes to community policing, including initiatives that aim to improve community relations and solicit resident input in decision making processes. These groups focus on sectors like small business, large business, transportation, manufacturing, and tourism. The CEC could partner with them to facilitate community engagement in the development and implementation of measures proposed by the task forces. The CEC might use their digital platform to inform residents of the task forces’ work and display progress made in implementing their initiatives.

5. Work closely with external partners such as BetaNYC, Open NY, and the NYC Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications to facilitate improved coordination with other agencies. Much of the data that NYC collects about its residents is siloed for the protection and safety of these residents. However, some suggested that this data could be shared with specific departments like the CEC.

6. Engage multi-sector partnerships and anchor institutions. The CEC can continue to form partnerships with other government agencies, universities, philanthropic organizations, and the private sector to increase their capacity to develop and implement initiatives around civic engagement. Partner organizations can provide technical assistance, aid in data collection and analysis, and may help fund the CEC’s initiatives.

7. A longer-term goal might be to work with local organizations to ensure that a greater number of New Yorkers have access to both broadband internet and devices. While
over eighty percent of New Yorkers have smartphones,\textsuperscript{11} engagement on these devices can be more difficult than on computers. With libraries currently less accessible due to COVID-19, the CEC could work across the city ensuring each household has access to at least a tablet, if not a laptop or desktop computer.

**Finally, create an iterative process, identifying new ways to involve and empower communities.** This includes creating and maintaining feedback loops to build meaningful, trusting relationships with residents and creating regular opportunities for groups to share their experiences, which the CEC can learn from to fine-tune their efforts and pivot when necessary.

1. When data about resident engagement is collected, make anonymized data available to the public on the CEC website. Transparency of work, methodology and outcomes can increase trust among users.

2. At regular intervals following the launch of the platform, create and conduct a satisfaction survey to request resident feedback about their experience with Decidim, including topics like trust in the platform, ease of use, and government responsiveness.

3. A longer-term goal for the CEC might be to create a Civic Health Index. High Civic Health Index scores are correlated with additional positive outcomes, including higher employment rates, more responsive governments, and better health outcomes.\textsuperscript{12} A Civic Health Index could inform policy to create a civic engagement infrastructure, enhance two-way dialogues between local government and residents, and create cross sector partnerships, all strengthening civic institutions.

a. New York City could partner with the National Conference on Citizenship (NCoC) to develop a Civic Health Index for help. The NCoC helps provide capacity to facilitate civic movements bringing together key stakeholders in the community to identify and address the issues they care about.


\textsuperscript{12} National Conference on Citizenship, “Civic Health Index,” https://ncoc.org/chi.
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As Executive Director for Current, Chicago’s water innovation hub, Alaina Harkness leads collaborations that advance innovative solutions to water challenges. She most recently served as managing director for the economic development firm RW Ventures, where she helped launch and lead the New Growth Innovation Network and developed inclusive growth strategies for cities and metropolitan regions. Prior, she held a research fellowship in urban governance at the Brookings Institution, led urban development strategy for the John D. And Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation and staffed civic collaboratives: The Partnership for New Communities and 2016 Fund for Chicago Neighborhoods. Alaina is a nonresident fellow in the Global Cities program at the Chicago Council on Global Affairs and has published research and commentary for the Brookings Institution, Crain’s Chicago Business, the San Francisco Federal Reserve Bank and CityLab. She holds a B.A. in Political Science and Art History from the University of Rochester, and Masters degrees in Public Policy and Latin American Studies from the University of Chicago. Alaina is a longtime resident of Chicago’s Hyde Park neighborhood and serves on the boards of the CityTech Collaborative, Urban Initiatives and Margaret’s Village. She is a 2014 fellow of Leadership Greater Chicago.

Noel Hidalgo  
Executive Director, BetaNYC

Mr. Hidalgo stands at the crossroads of technology, government, community and impact. He believes in participatory communities and uses technology to improve people’s lives. His work has been achieved through patience and organizing problem-solving teams. Mr. Hidalgo is known as an effective organizer who can walk between worlds.

Since 2009, he has organized BetaNYC to be a driving force to improve New York City’s use of technology and share its data. BetaNYC has advocated for a suite of government transparency laws, including the city’s transformative open data law and city record online law. BetaNYC runs the New York City Civic Innovation Lab/ Fellows program, in partnership with Manhattan Borough President Gale A. Brewer, and curates the NYC School of Data community conference.

Mr. Hidalgo is an Eagle Scout. He was a Technology and Democracy fellow at Harvard Kennedy School’s Ash Center for Democratic Governance and Innovation; served as an inaugural member of Code for America’s National Advisory Council, and is a former fellow and current affiliate at the Data & Society Research Institution.
Ekrem İmamoğlu
Mayor of Istanbul

Ekrem İmamoğlu has been the Mayor of Istanbul since 2019. His work focuses on promoting social justice and environmentally-friendly urban development. He joined the Republican People's Party (CHP) in 2009 as a member of the CHP's Beylikdüzü District Organization. He has served as the Mayor of Beylikdüzü between 2014 and 2019, and realized a wide range of projects that transformed the district’s appearance and elevated its living standards. On March 31, 2019, Mr. İmamoğlu was elected Mayor of Istanbul with 48.8% of the votes. This was the highest percentage of votes that any Mayor of Istanbul had received in over 30 years. The vote was annulled following the objections made by the ruling party. In a re-do election on June 23, 2019 however, Mr. İmamoğlu was elected Mayor of Istanbul once again—this time with 54.2% of the votes. Ekrem İmamoğlu has been living in Istanbul since 1988, and his academic background is in economics and human resources.

Edna Ishayik
Knowledge & Insights Manager, Obama Foundation

Edna Ishayik conducts strategic research and strategy development primarily in the field of civic engagement. She was the Director of Civic Engagement Initiatives at Civic Nation where she founded the Action Civics Initiative which promoted experiential civic learning; as well as #VoteTogether, which increases voter participation through community celebrations at polling locations. She holds a Master’s degree in journalism from New York University and was a freelance writer for New York Magazine, Conde Nast Traveler, The New York Times, Vice Magazine, and other publications. Prior to that, Ishayik began her career in campaigns and elections in 2000 and later served as the Executive Director of the New York State Democratic Party. Currently, she is the Knowledge and Insights Manager at the Obama Foundation.

Merit Janow
Dean, School of International and Public Affairs; Professor of Professional Practice in International Economic Law and International Affairs, Columbia University

Merit E. Janow is an internationally recognized expert in international trade and investment. She has extensive experience in academia, government and business, and has been deeply involved with the Asia-Pacific region for her entire life.
Janow became Dean of the faculty of Columbia University’s School of International and Public Affairs (SIPA) in July 2013. SIPA is a leading school of international and public affairs in the United States, with more than 1,300 graduate students and 75 full time faculty. As Dean, she has strengthened the school by launching new programs and initiatives in the areas of technology and public policy—with a focus on cyber security and the digital economy—and central banking and financial policy. She also has grown SIPA’s faculty; supported the creation of new research centers; and completed a capital campaign and inaugurated SIPA’s second and most ambitious capital campaign.

For the past 25 years, Dean Janow has been a professor at both SIPA and Columbia Law School. She teaches graduate courses in the digital economy, international trade and investment law and policy, comparative antitrust law and China in the global economy. She has held a number of leadership positions at the University. She is co-director of the APEC Study Center at Columbia Business School and previously served as Chair of the Committee for Socially Responsible Investing which oversees the proxy voting of shares owned by the Columbia University endowment. Janow has written three books and numerous articles and frequently speaks before business, policy and academic audiences around the world.

Professor Janow has had three periods of government service. In December 2003, while at Columbia University, she was elected as one of the seven Members of the World Trade Organization’s (WTO) Appellate Body, which is the court of final appeal for adjudicating trade disputes between the 154 member nations of the WTO. She was the only North American member and the first female to serve on the Appellate Body. In the course of her four years of service, she reviewed more than 30 appeals covering a diverse range of trade disputes, including technology, subsidies, agriculture, investment and trade remedies. From 1997-2000, Janow served as the Executive Director of the first international antitrust advisory committee to the Attorney General and Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust of the US Justice Department. The key recommendations were implemented on an international basis and led to the establishment of the International Competition Network (ICN). From 1989 to 1993, prior to joining Columbia, Janow served as Deputy Assistant USTR for Japan and China in the Executive Office of the President. In this capacity she was responsible for developing, coordinating, and implementing U.S. trade policies; devising the U.S. negotiating strategies towards Japan and China; and leading the negotiations for a dozen trade agreements.

Gayatri Kawlra is a doctoral candidate in Urban Planning at Columbia University’s Graduate School of Architecture, Planning and Preservation (GSAPP). Her research explores the ways in which digital technologies are restructuring urban space and examines its implications for civic justice. She has particular interests in topics related to smart cities, e-governance, surveillance, privacy, data justice and data ethics, and uses both data science methods and critical data studies frameworks to design studies that inform urban policy and planning practice.

Gayatri is currently a researcher at Columbia World Projects where she works alongside the cybersecurity project teams. She has professional experience working in international development organizations in India, U.K., and the U.S, most recently as Strategy Analyst at the Rockefeller Foundation in New York, where she worked prior to joining the PhD program. She holds a Masters degree in Global Thought (Global Political Economy) from Columbia University’s Graduate School of Arts and Sciences and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics and Politics from the University of York (UK).
Ariel Kennan
Director of Civic Innovation, Sidewalk Labs

Ariel Kennan is the Director of Civic Innovation at Sidewalk Labs, where she draws upon her experience in multidisciplinary design and technology to collaborate with community, government and industry partners to design service experiences, craft strategy and create and source digital tools.

Before Sidewalk, Ariel served the New York City Mayor’s Office for Economic Opportunity as Director of Design and Product. In that role, she led the design and development of a portfolio of digital products and founded the nation’s first municipal service design studio dedicated to making public services for low-income residents as effective and accessible as possible. Her time in government was inspired through fellowships with Code for America and the Center for Urban Pedagogy, where she discovered the potential for design in the public sector. Ariel previously designed and produced experiences for the built environment, ranging from intimate immersive media to expansive buildings. She holds a BFA in Integrated Design from Parsons School of Design. Originally from Arizona, she lives in Brooklyn with her husband. She loves riding bikes and cooking delicious meals.

Eric Klinenberg
Helen Gould Shepard Professor of Social Science and Director of the Institute for Public Knowledge, New York University

Eric Klinenberg is Helen Gould Shepard Professor of Social Science and Director of the Institute for Public Knowledge at New York University. He is the author of Palaces for the People: How Social Infrastructure Can Help Fight Inequality, Polarization, and the Decline of Civic Life (Crown, 2018), Going Solo: The Extraordinary Rise and Surprising Appeal of Living Alone (The Penguin Press, 2012), Fighting for Air: The Battle to Control America’s Media (Metropolitan Books, 2007), and Heat Wave: A Social Autopsy of Disaster in Chicago (University of Chicago Press, 2002), as well as the editor of Cultural Production in a Digital Age, co-editor of Antidemocracy in America (Columbia University Press, 2019), and co-author, with Aziz Ansari, of the New York Times #1 bestseller Modern Romance (The Penguin Press, 2015). His scholarly work has been published in journals including the American Sociological Review, Theory and Society, and Ethnography, and he has contributed to The New Yorker, The New York Times Magazine, Rolling Stone, and “This American Life”.
Maya Kornberg
Researcher, InterParliamentary Union

Maya Kornberg’s professional focus is legislative politics. Currently, she is designing research for the IPU and UNDP for a report on public engagement in the work of parliament. She also consults for the non-profit Public Agenda, assisting in their projects on governmental response and public engagement in the era of Covid-19. Previously, she was a postdoctoral fellow at NYU’s Govlab, working on the use of digital technologies in public sector decision making. She holds a PhD in politics from Oxford, where her doctoral research focused on legislative committees as a tool for parliamentary specialization.

Miguel Lago
Co-founder and President-director, Nossas; Lecturer of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University

Miguel Lago is the co-founder and president-director of Nossas. Nossas is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that works as a system of civic mobilization infrastructures and a laboratory for activism and civic engagement. Nossas was born out of Meu Rio, a city-focused activist group that uses technology to make it easier for citizens of Rio de Janeiro to pressure their local government and keep it accountable. Meu Rio is today one of the largest civic engagement groups in the country, with over 300,000 registered members.

Miguel developed and teaches the course Social Innovation, Technology and Public Policy in the Global South at Columbia University’s School of International and Public Affairs (SIPA). He is also a lecturer at Sciences Po Paris, where he teaches a class on technology and democracy. His research interests include public policy, technology, participatory politics and citizen-led city development. Miguel holds a bachelor degree in Political Science and a Master’s degree in Public Administration, both from Sciences Po Paris.

Thomas Landrain
Co-founder and President, Just One Giant Lab
Panthea Lee
Executive Director, Reboot

Panthea Lee is the co-founder and Executive Director of Reboot. She is passionate about facilitating unlikely but effective collaborations between communities, activists, movements, and institutions to tackle structural inequity—and working with cultural institutions to build momentum for courageous change.

Panthea is a pioneer in designing and guiding multi-stakeholder processes to address complex social challenges, with experience doing so in 30+ countries, with partners including UNDP, World Bank, Open Government Partnership, CIVICUS, Luminate, Ford Foundation, and MacArthur Foundation, and governments at the national, state and local levels. Her practice blends her backgrounds as an ethnographer, organizer, designer and facilitator. The global co-design processes she’s led have resulted in the launch of bold new efforts to protect human rights defenders, tackle public sector corruption, strengthen participatory democracy, advance equity in the open knowledge movement, reform leading international agencies and drive innovation in independent media. She frequently speaks and writes on practices for social transformation, and is the lead author of “Implementing Innovation: A User’s Manual for Open Government Programs.”

Her contributions to the field of social design have been recognized by Fast Company, Core77 and others. Her work has been featured by Al Jazeera, Aspen Institute, The Atlantic, New York Times, MIT Innovations Journal and the Stanford Social Innovation Review. She has served on the faculty of PopTech Social Innovation and Singularity University, and has lectured at Columbia, Harvard and New York Universities. Panthea mentors several social entrepreneurs; advises the OECD Network on Innovation Citizen Participation and Greenpeace; and serves on the boards of AIGA Design for Democracy, Development Gateway and People Powered: The Global Hub for Participatory Democracy.

Bruce Lincoln
Co-Founder, Silicon Harlem

Bruce Lincoln is the Co-Founder of Silicon Harlem. The mission of Silicon Harlem is to transform Harlem and Upper Manhattan into a technology and innovation hub and to then use this model as a means to stimulate sustainable technology-driven socio-economic advancement in other urban centers.

Bruce is a Senior Fellow at the Columbia Institute for Tele-Information at the Graduate School of Business and from May 2008 until June 2011, he was the Entrepreneur-in-Residence at the Center for Technology, Innovation and Community Engagement (CTICE), Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science.
Pier Luca Marzo
Researcher and Sociology Teacher, Università di Messina

Pier Luca Marzo is an Assistant Professor of Sociology in the Department of Cognitive Science, Psychology, Education and Cultural Studies of the University of Messina. He holds a PhD degree in Analysis and Theory of Social Change from the University of Messina. His research interests include science and technology studies, social morphology, post-humanism and social imaginaries. He has participated in various seminars, conferences and congresses in Italy and abroad, and has published two books, three edited books and several articles.

Marzo is a Board Member of the Centro di Ricerca Internazionale Immaginari degli Universi teatrali e degli immaginari sociali of University of Messina, of the Italian Association of Sociology AIS – Immaginario and the PhD course in Global Culture, Digital Society, Diversity Inclusion and Social Innovation for Development at the University of Reggio Calabria. He is the Director of the editorial series Im@goBooks and Associate Director of The Centre for Philosophy and Every Day Life in the Department of Arts and Humanities at Nottingham Trent University.

Maggie Ollove
Service Designer + Civic Researcher, Center for Civic Design

Maggie designs innovative services with a human-centered approach. Whether she is designing a training program for farmers in Uganda or solving the challenge of child care for low-income families, she brings her skills in design-led research, workshop facilitation, and prototyping to find innovative solutions to social challenges. Before joining the Center, she worked on projects for Tipping Point, Earth Day Network, World Bank, Concern Worldwide, and Memorial Sloan Kettering to make the world a better place. Most recently, she co-founded the Gma Village, a service that connects low-income parents who need child care support with a group of loving grandmas to care for their children. She and her collaborators received the Sylvia Harris Citizen Design Award for this project. She holds an MFA from the Transdisciplinary Design program at Parsons The New School for Design and a BA in social history and photography from Oberlin College.
Kathryn Ott Lovell

Commissioner, Philadelphia Parks & Recreation

Kathryn Ott Lovell was appointed commissioner of Philadelphia Parks & Recreation by Mayor Jim Kenney in January 2016. In this position, Kathryn oversees 700 full-time employees, more than 2,700 part-time and seasonal employees, over 10,200 acres of land, 500 buildings, 166 miles of trail, 250 playgrounds, and thousands of programs and events throughout Philadelphia’s parks and recreation system. In 2017, Kathryn commissioned the first strategic plan for Philadelphia Parks & Recreation. The plan, Our Path to 2020, established a renewed vision for the department and set in motion a powerful trajectory toward an equitable and exceptional parks and recreation system that connects people to each other, to enriching experiences, and to the natural world. The plan emphasizes citizen-centric service; high quality, relevant, and accessible programs; and a commitment to well-maintained assets. Kathryn has presented widely on topics ranging from urban forestry, to climate change, to why parks are crucial to our future. Notable speaking and panel appearances include the South by Southwest Conference (SXSW), National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) Conference, the City Parks Alliance’s Greater & Greener Conference, and the One Water Summit. In 2018, Kathryn served as the 2018 NRPA Robert W. Crawford Lecturer. Currently, Kathryn serves on the Board of Directors for the Please Touch Museum, Schuylkill River Development Corporation, Parkway Council, Free Library of Philadelphia, and the Philadelphia Sports Congress.

David Park

Dean of Strategic Initiatives, Arts & Sciences, Columbia University; Member, Committee on Global Thought; Faculty Director, MA in Global Thought

David K. Park is Dean of Strategic Initiatives for the Faculty of the Arts and Sciences at Columbia University. Dr. Park is a member of Columbia University’s Institute for Data Sciences and Engineering New Media Center, Director of Special Projects at the Applied Statistics Center and Fellow at the Center for the Management of Systemic Risk at Columbia University. Dr. Park is also a founding member of Columbia University’s Digital Storytelling Lab. Dr. Park has co-founded several New York-based technology companies. Dr. Park is currently exploring the historical arc of universities, limitations and possibilities of “big data”, how failure and vulnerability are the foundations of innovation and creativity across organizations as well as the electoral origins of congressional polarization. Dr. Park is also working on several manuscripts including, “The Twentieth Century Sectional Reversal: How did the Republican States Switch to the Democrats and Vice Versa?” and “Is History Repeating Itself? Comparing 1875 to 1928 and 1971 to 2008”.

Dr. Park received his B.S. in Aerospace Engineering from the University of Maryland and his Ph.D. in political science at Columbia where he focused on historical comparative institutional analyses of societal change. He was an Assistant Professor of Political Science and Applied Statistics at Washington University, Assistant Professor at George Washington University, Visiting Professor at Columbia University and Visiting Scholar at the Russell Sage Foundation. He has written numerous articles and co-authored Red State Blue State Rich State Poor State: Why American Vote the Way They Do (Princeton University Press, 2008).
Desmond U. Patton

Associate Professor of Social Work, Columbia University; Director, SAFE lab at Columbia University

Associate Professor Desmond Upton Patton is a Public Interest Technologist who uses qualitative and computational data collection methods to examine the relationship between youth and gang violence and social media; how and why violence, grief, and identity are expressed on social media; and the real world impact these expressions have on wellbeing for low-income youth of color. Dr. Patton is the founding Director of the SAFE lab, a member of the Data Science Institute, a faculty affiliate of the Social Intervention Group (SIG) and holds a courtesy appointment in the department of Sociology. He is the recipient of the 2018 Deborah K. Padgett Early Career Achievement Award from the Society for Social Work Research (SSWR), and was named a 2017-2018 Fellow at Harvard’s Berkman Klein Center for Internet and Society.

Dr. Patton studies the ways in which gang-involved youth conceptualize threats on social media, and the extent to which social media shapes and facilitates youth and gang violence. In partnership with the Data Science Institute, he is developing an online tool for detecting aggression in social media posts. Dr. Patton’s research on “internet banging” has been featured in the New York Times, Chicago Tribune, USA Today, NPR, Boston Magazine, ABC News, and Vice. It was cited in an Amici Curiae Brief submitted to the United States Supreme Court in Elonis v. United States, which examined the interpretation of threats on social media.

Tiago C. Peixoto

Senior Public Sector Specialist, The World Bank Group

Tiago C. Peixoto is a Senior Governance Specialist at The World Bank Group. Since joining the World Bank in 2010, Tiago has been working with governments to develop digital solutions for better public policies and services. Prior to joining the World Bank, he managed projects and consulted for a number of organizations, such as the European Commission, OECD, United Nations, Bertelsmann Foundation and the Brazilian and UK governments. Tiago has been honored by TechCrunch as one of the 20 Most Innovative People in Democracy, and by Apolitical as one of the 100 Most Influential People in Digital Government. His work has been featured in both mainstream and specialized media, such as The Economist, The Wall Street Journal, The Washington Post, Nature, New Scientist, Quartz, Vox and Mashable. Tiago has published in The British Journal of Political Science, UCLA Law Review, Journal of Information Technology and Politics, and European Journal of eParticipation, among others. In 2017 he and his co-authors received the Louis Brownlow Award (American Society of Public Administration) for the best article of the year written by a practitioner. Tiago holds a PhD and a Masters in Political Science from the European University Institute, as well as a Masters in Organized Collective Action from Sciences-Po Paris.
Clémence Pène
Innovation officer, DITP (French government)

Clémence Pène is a former advisor to the Mayor of Paris, in charge of her digital strategy and open government. As a PhD candidate researching campaigns and activism in France and in the United States, she started to build an international network in the field of civic innovation and digital advocacy. She has been awarded with a Fulbright Fellowship and two Google fellowships for young innovators.

Pursuing her passion for urban and civic tech, she spent two years at C40 Cities, a network of 96 megacities tackling Climate Change, as the Head of Digital and Citizen Engagement. Clémence is now part of the French Government Innovation team at DITP (Public Transformation Direction) where she is in charge of communities, knowledge, experimentation and anticipation. Her current projects include the French Government Public Innovation Lab, Post-Covid Government Resilience with OECD and 27e Region, Anticipatory Design for Crisis.

Eve Plenel
Executive Director, Vers Paris sans sida

Eve Plenel’s commitment to the fight against HIV, social and racial exclusion started in the activist group Act Up-Paris in the early 2000s. After graduating in political science and urban sociology in 2004, she joined the City of Paris cultural affairs division to open the “104”, a 40’000 sqm art center in Paris’ most deprived neighborhood. She was in charge of community-organizing to create a space that could be shared by families, multicultural youth, migrant workers as well as avant-garde artists. Ten years later, the ‘104’ cultural center is still cited as an example of successful social mix.

In 2010, Eve Plenel returned to her initial engagement to improve access to healthcare for migrants and detainees. She ran two Paris-based NGOs which develop services for people living with or affected by HIV (testing facilities, outreach health promotion, medical, social and psychological support, including housing, occupational integration, legal support, and quality of life programs).

In 2016 she joined the City of Paris again as Project Manager of the Fast Track Cities Initiative to end HIV transmission and HIV-related stigma. She is now the Executive Director of the non-profit organization leading the Paris metropolitan response to end the HIV epidemic, improve health service delivery and foster community empowerment in deprived neighborhoods and key affected populations. Working as a public-private laboratory for innovative public health and social inclusion policies, it aims at reducing social, territorial and racial health inequities, and making Paris a safer place for people living with or most affected by HIV.

Eve works with grassroot activist organizations led by people living with HIV, men who have sex with men, transgender women, sex workers, African diaspora leaders. Her goal is to create the conditions for sustainable community-led solutions, by using the AIDS response as a social game-changer and an innovation enhancer.
Whitney Quesenbery
Managing Director, Center for Civic Design

As the Managing Director for the Center for Civic Design, Whitney is passionate about improving the voter experience. Sixteen years after her appointment to the EAC’s federal advisory committee, writing national voting system guidelines, she is still grappling with the opportunities to approach democracy as a design problem. She is proud of the Center’s work to introduce best practices for election design across the country through the Field Guides To Ensuring Voter Intent. Whitney’s work on projects from modernizing voter registration to designing usable vote-by-mail envelopes, makes it easier for people across the country to vote. From marking the ballot to auditing the vote, we can make elections usable, accessible and secure for voters, election workers, and officials.

Jason Rhody
Program Director of the Digital Culture program, Social Data Initiative, the MediaWell project, and the Media & Democracy program, Social Science Research Council

Jason Rhody directs the Digital Culture program, Social Data Initiative, the MediaWell project, and the Media & Democracy program at the Social Science Research Council (SSRC). Previously, he served as senior program officer at the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH), where he helped create the Office of Digital Humanities (ODH). In that role, he developed and managed multiple grant programs that enabled scholars, librarians, and archivists to harness emerging technologies to advance research, encourage scholarly inquiry of digital culture, and foster collaboration across international and disciplinary boundaries. He created and directed joint grant programs with Jisc in the UK and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in Germany, and contributed to collaborations with the Research Councils UK, FAPESP in Brazil, the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), and the Department of Energy (DOE). He received his PhD in English from the University of Maryland where, prior to joining NEH in 2003, he managed and advised digital humanities projects at the Maryland Institute for Technology in the Humanities (MITH) and taught courses in literature and digital media.
Oscar J. Romero Jr.
Program Director, The NYC Mayor’s Office of the Chief Technology Officer

Oscar Romero leads the inclusive innovation efforts of the NYC Mayor’s Office of the Chief Technology Officer (MOCTO). His work focuses on Digital Equity, Participatory Development and Smart City Public Policy. Before joining MOCTO, Oscar worked for The New School’s Digital Equity Laboratory where he researched how broadband access and privacy protection policies affect vulnerable populations across New York City. Oscar has worked on international cooperation for universities and international development organizations. He has designed experiential learning initiatives for large student communities (+100,000) in the US and Latin America. Oscar holds a M.A. in International Affairs from The New School and a B.S. in International Studies from the University of Guadalajara. He is an active member of the Research Network on Autonomous Integration in Latin America and the Caribbean (REDIALC). Currently he is researching how to leverage innovation to challenge inequality, and is interested in collaborating with development organizations to promote dialogue and research on digital equity, cyber-geopolitics, tech public policy and international cooperation.

Hollie Russon Gilman
Fellow, Columbia World Projects; Fellow and Adjunct Associate Research Scholar in Technology and Policy, Columbia University


She served in the Obama White House as the Open Government and Innovation Advisor in the Office of Science and Technology Policy and worked as a field organizer in New Hampshire. Gilman was a founding researcher and organizer for the Transparency and Accountability Initiative and Harvard’s Gettysburg Project to revitalize 21st century civic engagement. She has worked as an advisor, researcher, and consultant to numerous nonprofits and foundations including the Case Foundation, Center for Global Development, Gates Foundation, Knight Foundation, and the World Bank.

She holds a PhD and MA from the Department of Government at Harvard University and AB from the University of Chicago with highest honors in Political Science. She has published in numerous academic journals including in 2017 serving as the symposium editor on civic engagement and civic tech for PS: Political Science & Politics. She has several popular audience publications including the Boston Globe, Foreign Affairs, Slate, Stanford Social Innovation Review, The Washington Post and Vox. Gilman is a recipient of numerous awards, including: AAAS Big Data and Analytics Fellowship, Fulbright Scholarship, Environmental Working Group’s Excellence for Technology and Innovation, the Center for the American Presidency and Congress Presidential Fellowship and Harvard Kennedy School’s Democracy Fellow program at the Ash Center for Democratic Governance and Innovation.
Neelam Sakaria
Chief of Staff, New_Public

Neelam Sakaria is Chief of Staff at New_Public, an initiative dedicated to moving beyond the necessary critiques of our current online spaces and to encourage thinking like digital urban planners about the spaces we want to inhabit in the future. At New_Public, she manages strategy and operation underpinning the team’s research, community building, and creative projects. Prior to joining the team, she supported early-stage social entrepreneurs at Echoing Green working on the challenges in racial equity and the climate crisis and working in support of a more inclusive entrepreneurial ecosystem. Neelam is a graduate of NYU’s Global Liberal Studies program, where she concentrated on politics, human rights, and international development, as well as Middle Eastern and Islamic Studies. After a year spent in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories, she wrote her undergraduate thesis to better understand the critical political and urban sociological processes that influenced the experience of physical space, specifically within cities at the center of territorial conflict.

Sarah Sayeed
Chair and Executive Director of the Civic Engagement Commission

Dr. Sarah Sayeed, Chair and Executive Director of the Civic Engagement Commission, is a Bronx resident and has been dedicated to building an inclusive public square for almost two decades. In 2015, Sayeed was appointed by Mayor de Blasio to serve as a Senior Advisor in the Mayor’s Community Affairs Unit, to expand outreach to Muslim communities across the five boroughs. Prior to attaining this position, Sayeed was a trailblazer in interfaith work and activism at the Interfaith Center of New York, bringing together New York’s diverse grassroots religious leaders with secular and city agencies, and implementing an extended collaboration between Catholic and Muslim social service providers. Sayeed taught Communications to graduates and undergraduates at Baruch’s School of Public Affairs for five years. Through her years of volunteer work with diverse Muslim organizations, including Women in Islam, Inc., she has been an avid promoter of interfaith relations and Muslim women’s public engagement. Sarah holds a B.A. in Sociology and Near East Studies from Princeton University and an M.A. and Ph.D. in Communications from the Annenberg School for Communication, University of Pennsylvania. She also holds a certificate in Reconciliation Leadership through the Institute for Global Leadership and is an alumna of the American Muslim Civic Leadership Institute (AMCLI) Fellows program.
Adrienne Schmoeker

Deputy Chief Analytics Officer, City of New York; Director of Civic Engagement and Strategy, NYC Mayor’s Office of Data Analytics

Adrienne Schmoeker is currently the Deputy Chief Analytics Officer for the City of New York and Director of Civic Engagement and Strategy at the NYC Mayor’s Office of Data Analytics. She currently advises New York City’s Chief Analytics Officer, and directly leads a team of managers, strategists and data scientists, overseeing the City’s Open Data Program, internal strategic data coordination efforts and directing a portfolio of analytics projects serving various city agencies and fulfilling legal mandates. Prior to her current role, she managed the City’s Open Data Program for two years, increasing the datasets on the platform by more than 30% and led Open Data Week, a citywide community engagement initiative around public data. Adrienne originally joined the City of New York via the Mayor’s Office of Technology and Innovation in 2015, a new office led by the City’s first Chief Technology Officer, where Adrienne led a variety of open innovation initiatives in partnership with New York City’s urban tech, civic tech and startup communities. Before her time in public service, Adrienne worked at the social enterprise Catchafire and as an analyst in corporate retail. She holds a degree from the University of Chicago and is from a French-American family, brought up in St. Louis, Missouri.

Jose Serrano-McClain

Director, Urban Tech & Innovation, Inclusive Cities, HR&A Advisors

Jose Serrano-McClain brings expertise in urban innovation policy, community economic development, and stakeholder and community engagement to public and private sector projects.

As a leader in the firm’s Inclusive Cities and Urban Tech & Innovation practices, Jose’s work focuses on crafting strategies that address issues of equity and inclusion in the design of next-generation urban services and places, and support re-imagined forms of civic participation. He serves as project manager overseeing development of equitable economic development strategies for a first-of-a-kind urban innovation company, and is supporting design of stakeholder engagement strategies and research around innovative civic engagement models for OneNYC, the city’s master plan of strategic initiatives focused on equity, resiliency, and sustainability.

Prior to joining HR&A, Jose was Program Director of NYCx Co-Labs for the NYC Mayor’s Office of the Chief Technology Officer, where he led the creation of an urban innovation R&D program that represented an entirely new approach to 21st century city-making. A transdisciplinary practitioner, his other professional experiences include leading creative community development at the Queens Museum, co-founding two tech startups, and economic policy analysis at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Jose earned his Master of Fine arts from Queens College and a Bachelor of Arts in English from the University of Pennsylvania.
Rajiv Sethi
Professor of Economics, Barnard College

Rajiv Sethi is a Professor of Economics at Barnard College, Columbia University and an External Professor at the Santa Fe Institute. He is a co-author, with Brendan O’Flaherty, of Shadows of Doubt: Stereotypes, Crime, and the Pursuit of Justice, published by Harvard University Press in 2019. His primary area of research is in microeconomic theory, with applications to communication, crime, policing, and inequality.

Rajiv is currently working on the geography of lethal force. The use of deadly force by law enforcement officers in the United States is extremely high by international standards, and involves significant disparities by race and ethnicity. Both the scale and the disparities very sharply across states, cities, and law enforcement agencies. The main goal of the proposed research is to understand why such geographic variations in scale and disparities exist, and to identify effective policy remedies.

Rajiv has previously held visiting positions at Microsoft Research and the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, and has served on the editorial boards of several journals including the American Economic Review and Economics and Philosophy.

Micah Sifry
President, Civic Hall
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